

Course report

Semester: Spring 2019 Course: Biomaterials II (1KB264) 5hp Registered students: 30 Answering frequency: 23/30 (77%) Date: 2019-06-03	Examination results Number of students examined: 27 Fail: 1 (3%) Pass (3): 8 (30%) Pass with credit (4): 18 (67%) Pass with distinction (5): 0 (%)
--	--

Brief summary of student viewpoints and suggestions

(based on both quantitative results and key viewpoints from students' free-text answers)

"Strengths" according to students

- Nice lectures and interesting subjects. The amount of lectures was good as well
- Very interesting content in lectures and course as a whole.
- Being a materials student from Singapore, i have never been exposed to biology until now. Thus being new to this field, i was still able to grasp the concepts from the class within my time here.
- The presence of a project that help to understand better the topics of the course

"Weaknesses" according to students

- Some lectures was a bit hard to understand. Since I have a background of materials engineering and most of the course was more biology I struggled a bit with for example the laminin lecture.
- I missed a bit more of the materials part even through it was in biomat 1 there was too less about materials in this
- Some powerpoints are really bad

Comments from course director and teachers on the implementation and outcome of the course, including:

(i) any changes made to the course as a result of proposed changes/comments the last time the course was given (see for example previous course evaluations)

(ii) any changes made during the course as a result of formative course evaluations (if any)

- Did not get any critical comments last year to change the course structure or content.

Comments from lab teachers

Summarise here comments from lab teachers about the lab component of the course (strong points, room for improvement, requests etc). If the course does not include a lab component, write "No labs".

- With limited lab space it was difficult to manage different groups.
- Some students are not very active but as a group it was fine.

Proposed changes/comments/measures

- Comments: Next year have to find a way to give projects without too much burden on the teachers.

Names of those who wrote the course report, ie course director/another appointed person at the Department

Oommen Varghese